1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	
3	SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, State Bar No. 141135 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100	
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	
5	P.O. Box 85266	
6	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE	
9	RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation	Case No. R-2015
12	Against:	
13	MIKA K. SHERMAN 4722 Saratoga Avenue	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION
14	San Diego, CA 92107	11002111011
15	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 21980	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIES</u>	
20	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation	
21	solely in her official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
23	2. On or about July 31, 2001, the	e Respiratory Care Board issued Respiratory
24	Care Practitioner License No. 21980 to MIKA K. SF	HERMAN (Respondent). The Respiratory
25	Care Practitioner License was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will	
26	expire on September 30, 2006, unless renewed.	
27	111	
28	///	

1	3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Petition to Revoke		
2	Probation Against Mika K. Sherman," Case No. R-1916, the Respiratory Care Board, issued a		
3	decision, effective August 26, 2005, in which Respondent's Respiratory Care Practitioner License		
4	No. 21980 was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's license was		
5	placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of		
6	that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.		
7	<u>JURISDICTION</u>		
8	4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Respiratory Care		
9	Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All		
10	section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.		
11	5. Section 3710 of the Code states, in pertinent part: "The Respiratory Care		
12	Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter		
13	[Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."		
14	6. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and		
15	revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."		
16	COST RECOVERY		
17	7. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states, in pertinent part:		
18	"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the		
19	board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed		
20	a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and		
21	prosecution of the case"		
22	8. Section 3753.7 of the Code states:		
23	"For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include		
24	attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing,		
25	and service fees."		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		

Respondent by certified and regular mail regarding the terms and conditions of her probation and requested forms to be completed and submitted to the Board by a specific date. To date, Respondent failed to comply with the Board's request and failed to submit the requested forms.

- B. On or about September 7, 2005, the Board directed Respondent to report to the Department of Consumer Affairs, Division of Investigation, on September 15, 2005, to discuss the terms and conditions of her probation. In fact, on August 31, 2005, Respondent spoke to probation monitor K.M. stating she was available to meet with him on September 15, 2005 to discuss the terms and conditions of probation. Respondent failed to appear for the scheduled meeting as requested.
- C. On or about September 22, 2005, the Board mailed a final letter to Respondent directing her to contact the Board no later than September 30, 2005, with her intentions whether she was going to comply with her probation order. To date, Respondent has failed to comply with the Board's direction and failed to notify the Board of her intentions.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Probation Monitoring Costs)

12. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 8 stated:

"All costs incurred for probation monitoring during the entire probation shall be paid by the Respondent. The monthly cost may be adjusted as expenses are reduced or increased. Respondent's failure to comply with all terms and conditions may also cause this amount to be increased.

"All payments for costs are to be sent directly to the Respiratory Care

Board and must be received by the date(s) specified. (Periods of tolling will not toll
the probation monitoring costs incurred.)

"If Respondent is unable to submit costs for any month, she shall be

1 required instead to submit an explanation of why she is unable to submit the costs, 2 and the date(s) she will be able to submit the costs including payment amount(s). 3 Supporting documentation and evidence of why the Respondent is unable to make 4 such payment(s) must accompany this submission. 5 "Respondent understands that failure to submit costs timely is a violation of 6 probation and submission of evidence demonstrating financial hardship does not 7 preclude the Board from pursing further disciplinary action. However, Respondent 8 understands providing evidence and supporting documentation of financial 9 hardship may delay further disciplinary action. 10 "In addition to any other disciplinary action taken by the Board, an 11 unrestricted license will not be issued at the end of the probationary period and the 12 respiratory care practitioner license will not be renewed, until such time all 13 probation monitoring costs have been paid. 14 "The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve the Respondent 15 of her responsibility to reimburse the Board for costs incurred." 13. 16 Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to 17 comply with Probation Condition 8, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this 18 violation are as follows: Respondent failed to pay her \$100 monthly probation costs due 19 September 26, 2005, October 26, 2005, November 26, 2005, December 26, 2005, and January 26, 20 2006, and is in arrears in the amount of \$500. 21 THIRD CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION 22 (Cost Recovery) 23 14. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 12 24 stated: 25 /// 26 /// 27 ///

28

"Respondent shall pay to the Board a sum not to exceed the costs of the

investigation and prosecution in this case. That sum shall be \$384.50 and shall be paid in fully directly to the Board, in equal quarterly payments, within 12 months from the effective date of this decision. Cost recovery will not be tolled.

"If Respondent is unable to submit costs timely, she shall be required instead to submit an explanation of why she is unable to submit these costs in part or in entirety, and the date(s) she will be able to submit the costs including payment amount(s). Supporting documentation and evidence of why the Respondent is unable to make such payment(s) must accompany this submission.

"Respondent understands that failure to submit costs timely is a violation of probation, and submission of evidence demonstrating financial hardship does not preclude the Board from pursuing further disciplinary action. However, Respondent understands that providing evidence and supporting documentation of financial hardship may delay further disciplinary action.

"Consideration to financial hardship will not be given should Respondent violate this term and condition, unless an unexpected AND avoidable hardship is established from the date of this order to the date payment(s) is due.

"The filing of bankruptcy by the Respondent shall not relieve the Respondent of her responsibility to reimburse the Board for these costs."

15. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because she failed to comply with Probation Condition 12, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows: Respondent failed to pay her \$96.13 quarterly cost recovery payment due to the Board on November 26, 2005 and is in arrears.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:

26 ///

27 ///

1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Respiratory Care Board of

1	California in Case No. R-1916 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby	
2	revoking Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 21980 issued to MIKA K. SHERMAN;	
3	2. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 21980,	
4	issued to MIKA K. SHERMAN.;	
5	3. Ordering Mika K. Sherman to pay the Respiratory Care Board the costs of	
6	the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if probation is continued or extended, the costs	
7	of probation monitoring;	
8	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
9		
10	DATED: March 2, 2006	
11		
12	Original signed by Liana Zimmarman for	
13	<u>Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:</u> STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	
14	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs	
15	State of California	
16	Complainant	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		